


## REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Request for Information -	7	ROUTING	DATE SENT	VIA MAIL, EMAIL OR FAX			DATE RECEIVED	VIA MAIL, EMAIL OR FAX		
				M	E	F		M	E	F
OWNER: LFMSDD		Contractor to Engineer	2/8/2011		X					
PROJECT: HDTRWRF		Engineer to Contractor								
ENGINEER: GMS, Inc.		Copy to Owner								
CONTRACTOR: Weaver General Construction Co.										
RFI TITLE: Curb Detail										
REFERENCE: Drawing 3/AB-4										
<b>CONTRACTOR'S REQUEST</b>										
The following information, interpretation, or clarification is requested as described below or in the attachments.										
<p>Baker Concrete proposes to utilize the attached curb detail for Aeration Basins 1, 2 and 3. Is this acceptable? This proposed detail will not have an additional cost to the project. The proposed detail will provide a higher quality joint between the wall and the mat foundation. Baker is concerned with hanging a form from the rebar dowels that is the same width as the basin wall. If the curb moves out of line at all, it will be difficult to properly align our wall forms. We request a reply by 2/11/11.</p> <p>With the proposed detail, the curb (essentially the inverted keyway) will be allowed to move in and out as anticipated. Any deviation will be completely covered when the wall is placed on top of the mat. The construction joint between the wall and the mat will be clean.</p>										
By: John Jacob				Date: 2/8/11						
<b>ENGINEER'S RESPONSE</b>										
The following information, interpretation, or clarification is provided as described below or in the attachments.										
By: *				Date: *						

cc: Wes Weaver, Jeff Burst, John Jacob, WGCC; Jim Heckman, LFMSDD; Tim Long, Charlie Edgar, Cindy Murray, FSD; Al Testa, CCMD; Roger Sams, Dave Frisch, Jerry Miller, GMS, Inc.; Pat Danenberg, MWI; John Peiffer, Mike Gaines, MGA; Mark Reasinger, PEI



$6\frac{1}{2}"$

4x6

4x6

$3\frac{1}{2}"$

#10 REBAR